



കേരള സർക്കാർ
Government of Kerala
2014



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI
Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

കേരള ഗസറ്റ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 3 Vol. III	} തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2014 ജനുവരി 14 14th January 2014	} നമ്പർ No.	2
		1189 മകരം 1 1st Makaram 1 189		
		1935 പൗഷം 24 24th Pousha 1935		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1890/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the P resident Karuvarakundu Service Co-operative Bank, Tharish Karuvarakundu, Malappuram District and the workmen of the above referred establishment (1) Sri P. Ahammed Kutty, Pattanathu House, Pandikkad, P.O., Malappuram-676 521 (2) Smt. M. Ayishumma, Kalapparambil House, Kallamoola, Mambattumoola P.O., Malappuram and the workman represented by the District Secretary Kerala Co-operative Employees Front Nannambra Service Co-operative Bank, Kodingi P. O., Malappuram District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to S mt. Ayishumma, Secretary and Sri P . Ahammed Kutty , Chief Accountant by the management of Karuvarakundu Service Co-operative Bank Limited is justifiable ? If not, what is the remedy ?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1943/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Sajan Philip, Cheruthuruthy Plantations, Varikkanakkuzhy Veedu, Vettikkattiri, Cheruthuruthy-679 531 (2) Samuval Philip, Cheruthuruthy Plantations, Varikkanakkuzhy Veedu, Vettikkattiri, Cheruthuruthy-679 531 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Harikumar alias Sunny, Eluvinkil House, Vettikkattiri P.O., Panjal-679 531 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Harikumar alias Sunny, Worker Cheruthuruthy Plantations, Cheruthuruthy, Thrissur by the management is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to ?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1955/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd December 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Sree Gokulam Chits and Finance Company (P) Limited, Sree Gokulam Towers, No. 66, Arcoat Road, Chennai-600 024 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Bharatheeya Chits and Masdoor Sangam (BMS), BMS Office, Kallai Road, Kozhikode-673 002 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demands raised by the General Secretary, Bharatheeya Chits and Masdoor Sangam (BMS) regarding Pay Structure, Transfer, Promotion, Increment, Arrears of wages of the employees of Sree Gokulam Chits and Finance Company Corporate Office, "Sree Gokulam Towers", No. 66, Arcoat Road, Chennai-600 024 are Justifiable or not ? If yes, what are the reliefs they are entitled to ?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1956/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd December 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager C.H. Muhammed Koya Memorial Higher Secondary School, Vadakumbad, P.O. Vadakumbad, Ramanthali, Payyannur, Kannur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P.V. Premarajan, s/o C. Damodharan Nambiar, 'Athira', Aduthila, P.O. Pazhayangadi, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of the employment to Sri P. V. Premarajan who was a Non-teaching staff by the Management of C.H. Mohammed Koya Memorial Higher Secondary School is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1986/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th December 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Group Manager, Poabs Enterprises (Pvt.) Limited, Thengakal Estate, Vandiperiyar P.O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Sukeshni, Thengakal Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peerumade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. Sukeshni, Junior Assistant, Thengakal Estate, Vandiperiyar with effect from 16-3-2012 is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1987/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th December 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Hindusthan Latex Employees Welfare Society, Hindusthan Latex Limited., Post Box No. 2, P eeroorkada, Thiruvananthapuram-5 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri V. Muraleedharan Pillai, "Nilavu", Arampunna, Elabmal P. O., Punaloor, Kollam District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Sri V. Muraleedharan Pillai, Driver of Hindusthan Latex Welfare Society by the Management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1988/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th December 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Pothys Textiles, Ayurveda College Junction, Thiruvananthapuram-1 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. C. Saranya, Pooja Veedu, TC. 27/1493, Chettikulangara, Vanchiyoor P.O., Thiruvananthapuram-35 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Smt. C. Saranya, Sales Girl of Pothys Textiles, M.G. Road, Thiruvananthapuram by forcing her to resign with threat by the Management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1995/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th December 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Smt. B. Sathi, Managing Partner, Hotel Sudharsan, Parameshwer Nagar, Hospital Road, Kollam-1, (2) Sri Chandramohan (Power of Attorney Holder), Manager, Hotel Sudharsan, Kollam-1 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri Jayaprakash, General Secretary, Quilon Hotel and Tea Shop Workers Union (INTUC), Kollam-691 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

കൊല്ലം ഹോട്ടൽ സുദർശനിൽ ക്വയിലോൺ ഹോട്ടൽ ആന്റ് റ്റിഷോപ്പ് വർക്കേഴ്സ് യൂണിയൻ (ഐ. എൻ. റ്റി. യു. സി.) എന്ന ട്രേഡ് യൂണിയന്റെ നേതൃത്വത്തിൽ ജീവനക്കാർ നടത്തിവരുന്ന പണിമുടക്ക് സമരം നിയമവിധേയവും ന്യായീകരിക്കത്തക്കതുമാണോ? ആണെങ്കിൽ ജീവനക്കാർക്ക് മാനേജ്മെന്റിൽ നിന്നും ലഭിക്കേണ്ട അവകാശ ആനുകൂല്യങ്ങൾ എന്തെല്ലാം?

By order of the Governor ,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.